

Subject: 2nd Avenue Enviromental issues

Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 15:52:04 -0700

From: "Rod DeWalt" <dewalt@lynden.com>

To: jj@lynden.com, dickk@lynden.com, jonb@lynden.com, vicj@lynden.com

CC: georgw@bmc.lynden.com, tshee@aml.lynden.com, strong%lynden.com.kallen@lynden.com

In response to my Notice of Intent to cancel a stormwater permit for 2nd avenue site, Ecology made a site visit on Monday. I have spoken with the Inspector today, who told me that in his opinion this is one of the most out of compliance sites he has visited. Even though we do not have industrial activities, fueling, maintenance, washing of equipment etc., the housekeeping is terrible and in violation of the law..

I explained that we were in the process of demolishing old structures, and would be moving forward to dispose of the barrels, scrap metals etc., that are currently stored onsite.

The inspector made an estimate that 25 barrels, some on top of containers, open to rainwater and containing who knows what, was a huge problem and needed to be dealt with immediately. I assured him that we would be providing Ecology with a plan for testing & disposal of the barrels and their contents. I emphasized that our intent was to be proactive and manage this situation in a professional manner. This response to Ecology will hopefully minimize monetary fines, enforcement action etc..

To this end, I need to know what human resources are available for me to draw upon. I would think that either George or Tom be the onsite contact person, with me coordinating the paperwork backup via environmental consultant (test & dispose of barrels/contents) and keeping communication with Ecology. I would like to have a narrative of our plan to Ecology next week. So, will need to know our intent regarding disposal of old containers, scrap metals, junk timbers/wood, old fuel truck.. Are the hovercraft to remain onsite, how do we secure/store Knik asphalt tanks such that there is not stormwater contamination potential.

Thanks for your input.. Rod

<http://netwinsite.com>

Subject: RE: 2nd Avenue Enviromental issues

Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2001 16:53:26 -0700

From: "Jon Burdick" <jonb@lynden.com>

To: "Jim Jansen" <jj@lynden.com>, <dewalt@lynden.com>

CC: <dickk@lynden.com>, <vicj@lynden.com>, <georgw@bmc.lynden.com>, <tshee@aml.lynden.com>, <kallen@lynden.com>, "STEVE JANSEN" <stevej@knik.lynden.com>, "Rick Gray" <rickg@bmc.lynden.com>, <guyj@lynden.com>

FYI

Drew Jarad of Ashgrove called wanting to revisit using this site for barge offloading and stockpile of gravel materials, for distribution in the Seattle area. I gave him a site drawing and asked him to further define what they are looking for.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Jansen [mailto:jj@lynden.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2001 5:40 PM

To: dewalt@lynden.com

Cc: dickk@lynden.com; jonb@lynden.com; vicj@lynden.com;

georgw@bmc.lynden.com; tshee@aml.lynden.com;

strong@lynden.com; kallen@lynden.com; STEVE JANSEN; Rick Gray;

JJ@lynden.com

Subject: Re: 2nd Avenue Enviromental issues

ROD,

GEORGE IS BURIED WITH THE BARGE CONSTRUCTION, RACKS AND WINTER DRYDOCKING SO LETS NOT USE HIM.

TOM, ARE YOU AVAILABLE TO HEAD THIS UP ?

STEVE/RICK: TOM WOULD NEED SOMEONE TO WORK WITH HIM FROM KNIK AND BERING .

JJ

Rod DeWalt wrote:

> In response to my Notice of Intent to cancel a stormwater permit for 2nd
> avenue
> site, Ecology made a site visit on Monday. I have spoken with the
Inspector
> today, who told me that in his opinion this is one of the most out of
compliance
> sites he has visited. Even though we do not have industrial activities,
fueling,
> maintenance, washing of equipment etc., the housekeeping is terrible and
in
> violation of the law..
>
> I explained that we were in the process of demolishing old structures, and
would
> be moving forward to dispose of the barrels, scrap metals etc., that are
currently
> stored onsite.
>
> The inspector made an estimate that 25 barrels, some on top of containers,
open
> to rainwater and containing who knows what, was a huge problem and needed
to
> be dealt with immediately. I assured him that we would be providing
Ecology
> with a plan for testing & disposal of the barrels and their contents. I
emphasized
> that our intent was to be proactive and manage this situation in a
professional
> manner. This response to Ecology will hopefully minimize monetary fines,
enforcement

> action etc..
>
> To this end, I need to know what human resources are available for me to
draw
> upon. I would think that either George or Tom be the onsite contact
person,
> with me coordinating the paperwork backup via environmental consultant (test
> & dispose of barrels/contents) and keeping communication with Ecology. I
would
> like to have a narrative of our plan to Ecology next week. So, will need
to
> know our intent regarding disposal of old containers, scrap metals, junk
timbers/wood,
> old fuel truck.. Are the hovercraft to remain onsite, how do we
secure/store
> Knik asphalt tanks such that there is not stormwater contamination
potential.
> Thanks for your input.. Rod
> <http://netwinsite.com>

To: Christel Holm (CHOLM)
Subject: 2ND AVE FENCE

08:58:06 18 NOV 1997
SUTWIK/762314 Page 1

Number: SUTWIK/762314
Message date: 14 NOV 1997 09:02am
Sender: Christel Holm (CHOLM) LI,GO
From: CHRISTEL
To: GEORGW
Subject: 2ND AVE FENCE
cc: JJ DICKK CHOLM

AS WE DISCUSSED, I HAD SENT DAN HUNGATE OF BOGLE AND GATES THE CORRESPONDENCE WE HAVE WITH THE STATE OF WASHINGTON REGARDING THE PROPERTY LINE DISPUTE. DAN CALLED YESTERDAY AND SAID "PUT UP THE BALANCE OF THE FENCE!".

HE POINTED OUT THAT THE ADVERSE POSSESSION LAW DOES NOT APPLY TO GOVERNMENTS. THEREFORE, IF AND WHEN THE STATE PROVES TO US THAT OUR SURVEY IS IN ERROR, WE EITHER HAVE TO PERMIT LETTING THEM MOVE THE FENCE OR WE PURCHASE THE PROPERTY. DAN FEELS, THAT WHAT WE TOLD THE STATE WE WOULD DO, IS PRETTY CLEAR AND HE SAYS, THERE IS NO DOUBT THAT HAVING THE FENCE IN PLACE WILL GIVE US A BETTER CHANCE TO BUY THE PROPERTY, IF AND WHEN IT IS OFFERED FOR SALE.

DAN ASKED IF ATKINSON NEEDED THE PROPERTY FOR BUILDING THE BRIDGE. I TOLD HIM THAT THE STATE HAS NOT FORMALLY REQUESTED THE USE OF THAT LAND AND THAT THE CONTRACTOR HAD SOME MATERIAL STORED ON PART OF OUR PROPERTY, BUT THAT THERE WAS PLENTY OF OTHER SPACE THE CONTRACTOR COULD STORE HIS STUFF.

SO, I SUGGEST YOU FINISH PUTTING UP THE FENCE ASAP.

== End of Message ==